



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,440	09/05/2003	Veshaal Singh	50277-2209	8474
29989	7590	06/05/2007	EXAMINER	
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP			PATEL, MANGLESH M	
2055 GATEWAY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 550			2178	
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,440	SINGH, VESHAAL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Manglesh M. Patel	2178

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Manglesh M. Patel (USPTO). (3) Stoycho Draganoff (App's Rep).
 (2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lau & Vedula.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Overview of the invention including the differences between the mapping of the data done in the Vedula reference and the invention was discussed. Although Vedula teaches the mapping of attributes from source to target and suggests databases and Schemas, he fails to explicitly describe that the mapping includes mapping from different target and source formats such as a relational database to an XML document. The proposed language states:

"wherein the one or more attributes, of the one of said source and said target that is said relational database, correspond to one or more columns in one or more tables in said relational database"

The mapping further uses an XSLT according to the teachings of Vedula that require more resources to convert from the target XML format to a format for the specified relational database. Furthermore the examples disclosed in the Vedula reference describe mapping between two of the same formats with different structures using an XSLT.

The Lau reference teaches the use of Schemas for mapping attributes that however use instance data which is different than the actual attribute data used in the invention. Although the proposed amendment appears to overcome the reference, further review of the references is required since no official amendment has been recorded. All responses are subjected to further search and consideration..